

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,485	SCHILLING ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.

(3) _____.

 (2) Allen Hoover.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2006
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

McPherson et al (US 6,063,178)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to insert "dust suppressent or soil stabilization) into the preamble of all the independent claims and insert the word "hull" after "corn". Additionally, Applicant proposed to add new claims 60-62, cancel claims 5, 6, 25, 30, 47, and 48 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.